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#### Abstract

The reaction of trans- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ with the thallium salts $2-\mathrm{RS}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{COOTl}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, i-\mathrm{Pr}$ or $t-\mathrm{Bu})$ yields the compounds trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOC}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{SR}-\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{d})$. The solid state structure of the compound with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$ has been confirmed by X -ray analysis. In solution, however, an equilibrium is established between certain complexes in which one $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand is replaced by the sulphur atom of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ ligand to afford chelates. The position of the equilibrium depends on both the electron density on the sulphur and the polarity of the solvent used. The standard free energy and activation energy of the mentioned equilibrium are $\Delta G^{0}=22 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $E_{\mathrm{A}}=25-30 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the complex with $\mathrm{R}=i-\operatorname{Pr}$ (1c). © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.


Keywords: Palladium; Arylpalladium complexes; Hemilability; Sulphur-oxygen ligands

## 1. Introduction

Activity, selectivity and stability are the most important features of an organometallic catalyst precursor. The search for a balance between high activity on the one hand and sufficient stability on the other leads to the concept of 'hemilability'. According to the generally accepted belief, a hemilabile bidentate chelating ligand liberates one coordination site of the metal center only 'on demand' of a competing substrate like an olefin.
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ ligands [1-15] are well investigated and their hemilabile behaviour has been proven by spectroscopic methods [1,14]. Under catalytic conditions a number of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ compounds function as monodentate ligands [ $5,11,15]$. Potentially hemilabile $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ [16-19], $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}$ [5,20-22], N-O [23-25], O-O [24] and S-O ligands [24] have been examined to a much lesser extent.

In this paper we describe the synthesis and characterisation of phenylpalladium compounds bearing alkyl-

[^0]thioethercarboxylate $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ ligands. The molecular structure of one such a complex showed that the potentially bidentate ligand is only oxygen-bonded. In others, hemilabile behaviour of S-O ligands with respect to $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ substitution in solution, was observed.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Syntheses of trans-2-alkylthiobenzoatobis (triphenylphosphine) phenylpalladium (II) complexes (1a-d)

The new phenylpalladium compounds $\mathbf{1 a - d}$ (Scheme 1) were prepared by stirring trans $-\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ with 2-RS-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{COOTl}$ in tetrahydrofuran for three days at room temperature. The precipitation of TlCl , which was filtered off, drove the reaction. The complexes were finally obtained in moderate yields by crystallisation from THF/pentane.

The crystal and molecular structures of 1a and 1b were determined by X-ray diffraction, but only results for the latter are reported here. ${ }^{2}$ The molecular struc-

[^1]

Scheme 1. Preparation of phenylpalladium compounds 1a-d.
ture of $\mathbf{1 b}$ clearly shows that the sulphur atom is non-coordinating in the solid state under the chosen reaction conditions (Fig. 1).

### 2.2. Infrared spectroscopic characterisation of complexes 1a-d

The asymmetric and symmetric $v(\mathrm{CO})$ stretching vibrations for the carboxyl group of the S-O ligands in complexes 1a-d are found between 1595-1608 cm ${ }^{-1}$ and $1328-1371 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The values for the asymmetric stretching vibration correspond to that of a dimeric allyl palladium compound containing two bridging diphenylphosphino butyrate groups ( $1617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, nujol), the symmetric vibration of this compound (1289 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, nujol) being at lower energy than those of the complexes 1a-d [26]. All the frequencies of 1a-d differ considerably from those of arylnickel compounds with a bidentake $\kappa^{2}$-bonded pyridine carboxylate ligand (1662-1668 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) [23].

## 2.3. ${ }^{31} P$ NMR spectroscopic characterisation of complexes 1 a-d

Our original intention with the substitution of chloride in trans $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ by the negatively charged oxygen atom in potentially bidentate ligands, was to establish whether the neutral sulphur atoms of these ligands would also subsequently replace one $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ group in the reactant complex (Scheme 2). Such a reaction should become thermodynamically more likely with increasing basicity of the sulphur atom. Therefore, a complete series of ligands was used in which an increasing inductive effect of the substituents, R , on the sulphur causes an increased electron density and thus an increased basic strength in the order $\mathrm{Me}<\mathrm{Et}<i-\mathrm{Pr}<t$ Bu .

In the solid state $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{S}$ bond formation was absent. In solution, however, the equilibrium in Scheme 2 was
established for compounds $\mathbf{1 c}$ (chloroform) and 1d (benzene and chloroform) and was clearly recognizable in their ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra (Table 1, Fig. 2).

Complexes 1a and 1b formed neither in benzene- $d_{6}$ nor in chloroform- $d_{1}$ complexes of type 2 containing $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. In compound 1c, one $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand was substituted only in chloroform- $d_{1}$ solution and this process is indicated by the appearance of a new signal at $\delta$ 26.6 and by the consequent presence of the signal for free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ at $\delta-4.7$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. Even at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ no trace of 2 c was found in benzene- $d_{6}$. For complex 1d, with the highest expected electron density on the sulphur, the chelate complex $\mathbf{2 d}$ was observed in both deuterated benzene and chloroform. Thus, the position of the equilibrium depends on both the electron density on the sulphur and the polarity of the solvent used: the higher the electron density and the more polar the solvent the more the equilibrium is shifted to the right side of the equation (Scheme 2). This behaviour holds the promise of good catalytic activity of the complexes 1c and $\mathbf{1 d}$ in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation reactions according to the concept of hemilability. An investigation utilizing the new complexes as catalyst precursors in olefin oligomerisation and co-oligomerisation is presently underway.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 d}$ (Fig. 2) consist of three and two peaks, respectively, and can be attributed to the existence of additional geometric isomers. Indeed, for complex 1a two such isomers were crystallised and structurally identified by X-ray analysis hinting at a sterically hindered rotation within the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ ligand in compounds $\mathbf{1 a - d}$. ${ }^{1}$

### 2.4. Determination of thermodynamic parameters for $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $2 c$

By using ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectral data of 1c at different temperatures, the values for the equilibrium constant, $K$, and for the rate constant, $k$, applicable to the equa-


Fig. 1. Schakal drawing of 1b showing the numbering scheme adopted.


Scheme 2. Possible equilibrium between Pd complexes with a non-chelating and a chelating ligand.

Table 1
Equilibria between type $\mathbf{1}$ and type $\mathbf{2}$ complexes. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR chemical shifts in ppm

| Solvent | Complex type | $\mathbf{a}$ | b | c | $\mathbf{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 22.2 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 22.422 .7 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | - | - | - | 24.8 |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.521 .721 .9 | 21.722 .4 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | - | - | 26.6 | 25.2 |

tion in Scheme 2 were determined in chloroform- $d_{1}$ solution. The latter was calculated by determining the half widths, $h$, of the signals for 1c, 2c and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and applying the formula $k=\pi\left(h-h_{0}\right)$ for slow exchange reactions [27]. The value $h_{0}$ defines the half width with no exchange occurring and was estimated at 1.5 Hz from the spectrum of $\mathbf{1 b}$. The approximate equilibrium constants, $K$, were calculated according to $K=$ $[\mathbf{2 c}]\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right] /[\mathbf{1 c}]$ starting with a known amount of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and chloroform- $d_{1}$. The equilibrium concentrations of the various compounds participating in the reaction were determined by integration, keeping in mind that the signal of $\mathbf{1 c}$ integrates for two P atoms while that of $\mathbf{2 c}$ refers to only one.

The standard free energy of reaction, $\Delta G^{0}$, was calculated according to $\Delta G^{0}=-R T \ln K$ by plotting $\ln K$ against $1 / T$. The activation energy, $E_{\mathrm{A}}$, followed from an Arrhenius plot ( $\ln K$ against $1 / T$ ). Table 2 contains the results and Fig. 3 shows the linear Arrhenius plots.

Due to the influence of the entropy term $T \Delta S^{0}$ (Scheme 2), the equilibrium is shifted to the right at higher temperatures increasing the equilibrium constants. The value for $\Delta G^{0}$ was determined in the standard way to be $22 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} . E_{\mathrm{A}}$ is of the order of 25-30 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Substitution occurs to a larger extent for 1d than for 1c. The constants, $K$, at room temperature in chloro-form- $d_{1}$ solution were calculated as $20 \times 10^{-3}$ and $4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / 1$ respectively. In benzene $-d_{6}, K$ is


Fig. 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 c}$ (top) and $\mathbf{1 d}$ (bottom) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ (left) and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (right).
smaller $\left(1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}\right)$ for compound 1 d under the same conditions.

### 2.5. Crystal and molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 b}$

Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3 and fractional atomic coordinates in Table 4. Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure and the adopted numbering scheme. Compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ crystallises in the monoclinic system with unit cell dimensions as given in Table 5 which also contains other crystallographic data. The complex shows a slightly distorted square planar conformation around the central palladium. The deviations of the Pd and the four surrounding ligand atoms which are bonded to the Pd centre, from their leastsquares plane (molecular plane) are less than $0.1 \AA$. The phenyl ring and the carboxylate group of the oxygendonor ligand are aligned in a plane with deviations of less than $0.07 \AA$. The phenyl group attached to the central atom is orientated rectangularly to the molecular plane. The ligand plane, however, forms an angle of $63^{\circ}$ with the latter.

The $2.3441(2)$ and $2.3378(2) \AA \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and the 2.0024(2) $\AA \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths in 1b compare well with those in trans $-\left[\operatorname{PdBr}(o\right.$-tolyl $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][2.322(2) \AA$, $2.319(2) \AA, 1.991(8) \AA][28]$, a compound similar to the starting material. Thus, no major change is introduced by substituting the halide for a carboxylate ligand. Only a few examples of Pd coordinated by one oxygen of a carboxylate moiety are found in the literature. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in $\left[\mathrm{PdMe}(\right.$ mpyca $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ [25] (mpycaH $=6$-methylpyridine-2-carboxylic acid) with a chelating $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ ligand where the oxygen is in trans position to the methyl group [2.121(4) A], and in a dimeric palladium allyl complex with two bridging acetatodiphenylphosphine groups [2.124(6) A ${ }_{\circ}$ ] [26], correspond well to that of 1b $[2.1315(2) \AA]$. Thus, the complex 1b does not show unusual structural features. Pd compounds bearing two trans [29-31] or cis [32] coordinated carboxylate groups have Pd-O bond distances between 2.00 and $2.08 \AA$. An increased bond

Table 2
Determination of equilibrium and rate constants

| $T[\mathrm{~K}]$ | $K\left[10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}\right]$ | $k[\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}]$ |  | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $\mathbf{2 c}$ | 27.0 |
| 243.15 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 18.2 | 52.5 |
| 258.15 | 10.9 | 23.9 | 41.2 | 98.7 |
| 273.15 | 18.0 | 35.5 | 87.3 | 181.3 |
| 288.15 | 34.3 | 92.7 | 153.0 | 309.2 |
| 303.15 | 54.3 | 196.3 | 293.1 |  |
|  | Results |  |  |  |
|  | $\Delta G^{0}[\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | $E_{\mathrm{A}}[\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | $E_{\mathrm{A}}[\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | $E_{\mathrm{A}}[\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
|  | $21.8 \pm 1.2$ | $30.2 \pm 2.5$ | $28.3 \pm 0.5$ | $25.1 \pm 0.5$ |



Fig. 3. Arrhenius plots for $\mathbf{1 c}$; signal basis: $\mathbf{1 c}$ : $\mathbf{■}, \mathbf{2 c} \bullet, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}: \mathbf{\Delta}$.
length for $\mathbf{1 b}$ is to be expected due to a larger trans effect compared to the carboxylate ligand in the transdicarboxylate Pd complexes.

The geometry of $\mathbf{1 b}$ is similar to that of trans $-[\mathrm{Ni}(o-$ tolyl)(thpca- $\left.\left.\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \quad($ thpcaH $=$ thiophene-2carboxylic acid) [33] but the bond distances from the Ni metal centre to the adjacent atoms are about $0.1 \AA$ shorter.

Table 3
Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for $\mathbf{1 b}$

| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $2.3441(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O} 41$ | $2.1315(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $2.3378(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $2.0024(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 41-\mathrm{C} 41$ | $1.2870(1)$ | $\mathrm{O} 42-\mathrm{C} 41$ | $1.2280(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $90.36(0)$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O} 41$ | $88.71(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O} 41$ | $93.81(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $87.14(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $177.48(0)$ | $\mathrm{O} 41-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31$ | $171.47(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O} 41-\mathrm{C} 41$ | $116.28(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 32$ | $123.43(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 41-\mathrm{C} 41-\mathrm{O} 42$ | $124.45(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 36$ | $118.21(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 111$ | $124.02(0)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 211$ | $116.75(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 121$ | $111.87(0)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 221$ | $112.75(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 131$ | $108.25(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 231$ | $113.25(1)$ |

It was found that compound 1a crystallises in two modifications. They show different molecular structures (to be reported elsewhere) in which the oxygen-donor ligand moiety is turned $180^{\circ}$ around the C(carboxyl)C(phenyl) bond. Compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ shows the same molecular structure as one of these two modifications.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General

All reactions and manipulations except the syntheses of ligands and thallium salts were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents except methanol were dried and purified by standard methods and freshly distilled before use. Other reagents were used without further purification.

The complex trans $-\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ [34] and the ligands 2-MeS-C $6_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{COOH}[35]$ and $2-\mathrm{RS}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}, i-\mathrm{Pr}, t-\mathrm{Bu})[36,37]$ were prepared according to literature methods.

Table 4
Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for atoms of $\mathbf{1 b}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }} / \AA^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | -0.003173(14) | 0.48080(2) | 0.267937(14) | 0.03823(14) |
| P1 | -0.11351(5) | 0.53374(6) | 0.29400(5) | 0.0402(2) |
| P2 | 0.10911(5) | 0.43457(6) | 0.24269(5) | 0.0393(2) |
| O41 | -0.05631(14) | 0.3474(2) | 0.24940(14) | 0.0474(6) |
| O42 | 0.0567(2) | 0.2950(2) | 0.3511(2) | 0.0685(7) |
| S | -0.17980(7) | 0.24536(7) | 0.13754(7) | 0.0675(3) |
| C111 | -0.1123(2) | 0.6416(2) | 0.3459(2) | $0.0455(8)$ |
| C112 | -0.1201(3) | 0.6437(3) | 0.4182(2) | 0.0581(10) |
| C113 | -0.1222(3) | 0.7254(3) | 0.4557(3) | 0.0764(13) |
| C114 | -0.1164(3) | 0.8062(3) | 0.4210(3) | $0.0782(14)$ |
| C115 | -0.1075(3) | 0.8058(3) | 0.3497(3) | 0.0778(14) |
| C116 | -0.1049(3) | 0.7247(3) | 0.3127(3) | 0.0649(11) |
| C121 | -0.1445(2) | $0.4499(2)$ | 0.3504(2) | 0.0433(8) |
| C122 | -0.2244(3) | 0.4419(3) | 0.3410(3) | 0.0601(10) |
| C123 | $-0.2446(3)$ | 0.3774(4) | 0.3867(3) | 0.0756(13) |
| C124 | -0.1854(3) | 0.3243(3) | 0.4408(3) | 0.0763(14) |
| C125 | $-0.1050(3)$ | 0.3319(3) | 0.4525(3) | 0.0734(12) |
| C126 | -0.0838(3) | 0.3954(3) | 0.4070(2) | 0.0590(10) |
| C131 | -0.2041(2) | 0.5443(2) | 0.1976(2) | 0.0439(8) |
| C132 | $-0.2700(2)$ | 0.6018(3) | 0.1856(2) | 0.0582(10) |
| C133 | -0.3384(3) | 0.6039(3) | 0.1121(3) | 0.0694(12) |
| C134 | $-0.3421(3)$ | 0.5489(3) | 0.0499(3) | 0.0659(11) |
| C135 | -0.2765(3) | 0.4924(3) | 0.0609(3) | 0.0625(11) |
| C136 | -0.2083(3) | 0.4906(3) | 0.1332(2) | 0.0526(9) |
| C211 | 0.1353(2) | 0.5073(2) | 0.1741(2) | 0.0451(8) |
| C212 | 0.1037(3) | 0.4883(3) | 0.0922(2) | 0.0548(10) |
| C213 | 0.1205(3) | 0.5449(4) | 0.0404(3) | 0.0711(12) |
| C214 | 0.1689(3) | 0.6205(4) | 0.0694(3) | 0.0743(14) |
| C215 | 0.2003(3) | 0.6390(3) | 0.1494(4) | 0.0765(15) |
| C216 | 0.1843(3) | 0.5843(3) | 0.2032(3) | 0.0590(10) |
| C221 | 0.0934(2) | 0.3222(2) | $0.1935(2)$ | 0.0418(8) |
| C222 | 0.0166(2) | 0.3050(3) | 0.1318(2) | 0.0497(9) |
| C223 | -0.0003(3) | 0.2231(3) | 0.0897(3) | 0.0613(10) |
| C224 | 0.0591(3) | 0.1584(3) | 0.1092(3) | 0.0734(12) |
| C225 | 0.1363(3) | 0.1744(3) | 0.1703(3) | 0.0768(14) |
| C226 | 0.1534(3) | 0.2556(3) | 0.2130(2) | 0.0580(10) |
| C231 | 0.2056(2) | 0.4296(2) | 0.3336(2) | $0.0435(8)$ |
| C232 | 0.2821(2) | 0.4223(3) | 0.3308(3) | 0.0578(10) |
| C233 | 0.3543(3) | 0.4202(3) | 0.4006(3) | 0.0704(12) |
| C234 | 0.3512(3) | 0.4261(3) | 0.4740(3) | 0.0709(12) |
| C235 | 0.2763(3) | 0.4332(3) | 0.4782(3) | 0.0662(11) |
| C236 | 0.2044(2) | 0.4353(3) | 0.4094(2) | 0.0507(9) |
| C31 | 0.0352(2) | 0.6096(2) | 0.2681(2) | 0.0457(8) |
| C32 | 0.0919(3) | 0.6533(3) | 0.3370(3) | 0.0583(10) |
| C33 | 0.1140(3) | 0.7436(3) | 0.3313(4) | 0.0803(14) |
| C34 | 0.0816(3) | 0.7911(3) | $0.2605(4)$ | 0.081(2) |
| C35 | 0.0257(3) | 0.7488(3) | 0.1926(4) | 0.0724(13) |
| C36 | 0.0027(3) | 0.6582(3) | 0.1961(3) | $0.0575(10)$ |
| C41 | -0.0096(2) | 0.2828(3) | 0.2928(2) | 0.0508(9) |
| C42 | -0.0385(3) | $0.1863(2)$ | 0.2661(2) | 0.0543(9) |
| C43 | -0.1105(3) | $0.1625(3)$ | 0.1980(2) | 0.0576(10) |
| C44 | -0.1245(4) | 0.0680(3) | 0.1792(4) | 0.0804(14) |
| C45 | -0.0704(6) | 0.0021(4) | 0.2239(5) | 0.100(2) |
| C46 | -0.0026(6) | 0.0259(4) | 0.2898(6) | 0.101(2) |
| C47 | 0.0140(4) | 0.1164(3) | 0.3116(4) | 0.0778(13) |
| C51 | -0.2606(4) | 0.1772(4) | 0.0636(4) | 0.097(2) |
| C52 | $-0.3235(5)$ | 0.2408(6) | 0.0020(5) | 0.116(2) |
| H112 | -0.1278(21) | 0.5908(26) | 0.4356(20) | 0.045(10) |
| H113 | -0.1212(29) | 0.7184(32) | $0.5097(30)$ | 0.090(15) |
| H114 | -0.1214(27) | 0.8579(33) | 0.4437(26) | 0.077(14) |
| H115 | -0.1089(27) | 0.8571(33) | 0.3250(26) | 0.078(14) |

Table 4 (continued)

| Atom | $x$ | $z$ |  | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| H116 | $-0.0932(26)$ | $0.7251(30)$ | $0.2697(25)$ | $0.069(13)$ |
| H122 | $-0.2622(32)$ | $0.4804(31)$ | $0.3056(31)$ | $0.082(15)$ |
| H123 | $-0.3028(35)$ | $0.3756(38)$ | $0.3717(31)$ | $0.113(18)$ |
| H124 | $-0.1998(30)$ | $0.2786(37)$ | $0.4675(29)$ | $0.092(15)$ |
| H125 | $-0.0546(36)$ | $0.2982(39)$ | $0.4956(32)$ | $0.118(19)$ |
| H126 | $-0.0293(26)$ | $0.4001(29)$ | $0.4137(23)$ | $0.068(12)$ |
| H132 | $-0.2698(24)$ | $0.6364(27)$ | $0.2276(24)$ | $0.063(11)$ |
| H133 | $-0.3793(25)$ | $0.6404(28)$ | $0.1052(22)$ | $0.056(11)$ |
| H134 | $-0.3867(26)$ | $0.5517(30)$ | $0.0017(26)$ | $0.069(12)$ |
| H135 | $-0.2854(27)$ | $0.4559(31)$ | $0.0153(28)$ | $0.072(13)$ |
| H136 | $-0.1707(25)$ | $0.4548(28)$ | $0.1380(22)$ | $0.052(11)$ |
| H212 | $0.0695(22)$ | $0.4374(26)$ | $0.0720(20)$ | $0.049(10)$ |
| H213 | $0.0995(37)$ | $0.5231(35)$ | $-0.0156(38)$ | $0.111(20)$ |
| H214 | $0.1749(31)$ | $0.6578(37)$ | $0.0354(30)$ | $0.092(16)$ |
| H215 | $0.2285(23)$ | $0.6791(27)$ | $0.1695(21)$ | $0.043(11)$ |
| H216 | $0.2078(23)$ | $0.5966(26)$ | $0.2561(23)$ | $0.055(11)$ |
| H222 | $-0.0219(23)$ | $0.3462(26)$ | $0.1212(21)$ | $0.049(10)$ |
| H223 | $-0.0481(26)$ | $0.2121(26)$ | $0.0519(24)$ | $0.057(11)$ |
| H224 | $0.0456(24)$ | $0.1036(31)$ | $0.0840(23)$ | $0.069(12)$ |
| H225 | $0.1694(27)$ | $0.1303(30)$ | $0.1873(25)$ | $0.071(13)$ |
| H226 | $0.2042(22)$ | $0.2659(22)$ | $0.2554(20)$ | $0.042(9)$ |
| H232 | $0.2833(22)$ | $0.4236(26)$ | $0.2875(22)$ | $0.051(11)$ |
| H233 | $0.3995(32)$ | $0.4087(34)$ | $0.3944(28)$ | $0.090(16)$ |
| H234 | $0.4015(26)$ | $0.4260(29)$ | $0.5201(25)$ | $0.073(12)$ |
| H235 | $0.2789(25)$ | $0.4435(31)$ | $0.5265(26)$ | $0.067(12)$ |
| H236 | $0.1543(22)$ | $0.4418(24)$ | $0.4136(19)$ | $0.043(9)$ |
| H32 | $0.1139(25)$ | $0.6259(28)$ | $0.3900(25)$ | $0.069(12)$ |
| H33 | $0.1571(29)$ | $0.7689(32)$ | $0.3771(28)$ | $0.082(14)$ |
| H34 | $0.0941(28)$ | $0.8496(35)$ | $0.2601(27)$ | $0.084(14)$ |
| H35 | $0.0037(31)$ | $0.7756(35)$ | $0.1400(30)$ | $0.092(16)$ |
| H36 | $-0.0418(24)$ | $0.6302(26)$ | $0.1418(24)$ | $0.064(11)$ |
| H44 | $-0.1715(28)$ | $0.0543(34)$ | $0.1362(27)$ | $0.071(14)$ |
| H45 | $-0.0837(28)$ | $-0.0635(37)$ | $0.2032(28)$ | $0.090(14)$ |
| H46 | $0.0284(42)$ | $-0.0133(41)$ | $0.3254(42)$ | $0.115(22)$ |
| H47 | $0.0572(31)$ | $0.1225(37)$ | $0.3593(30)$ | $0.094(18)$ |
| H511 | $-0.2983(46)$ | $0.1435(51)$ | $0.0901(41)$ | $0.164(28)$ |
| H512 | $-0.2216(34)$ | $0.1283(39)$ | $0.0412(32)$ | $0.119(18)$ |
| H521 | $-0.3759(38)$ | $0.1930(39)$ | $-0.0415(35)$ | $0.125(19)$ |
| H522 | $-0.2951(54)$ | $0.2643(53)$ | $-0.0329(46)$ | $0.164(31)$ |
| H523 | $-0.3578(78)$ | $0.2861(82)$ | $0.0329(72)$ | $0.312(62)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

NMR spectra $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR at $300 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR at 75 MHz with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR at 121 MHz with $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as external standard) were recorded on a Varian Gemini 2000 NMR spectrometer. For IR spectra ( 4000 to $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, resolution $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) a Perkin-Elmer 841 spectrophotometer was used. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Division of Energy Technology, CSIR, Pretoria.

### 3.2. Crystal structure determinations

Crystals of 1b suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by crystallisation from THF/pentane. The Siemens SMART CCD system was used for X-ray diffraction data collection at room temperature, using graphite monochromated $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Empirical absorption corrections were applied by

Table 5
Crystal and refinement data and data collection parameters for 1b

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{SPd}$ |
| Formula weight ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 889.34 |
| Colour, habit | Light yellow, regular prism |
| Crystal dimensions ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.18 \times 0.18 \times 0.25$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.592 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / a$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 17.864(1) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 14.610(1) |
| $c(\mathrm{~A})$ | 18.228(1) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 114.849(1) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 4317.0(5) |
| Z | 4 |
| $D$ (calc.) $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.368 |
| Refinement data |  |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Number of parameters | 690 |
| $R(F)$, observed reflections | 0.0331 |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)$, all reflections | 0.1193 |
| Goodness of fit ( $S$ ), all | 0.991 |
| $\Delta / \sigma(\max )$ | $<0.2$ (for $y$ of H523) |
| $\Delta / \sigma$ (mean) | 0.009 |
| $\Delta \rho\left(e \AA^{-3}\right)$, min, max | -0.42, 0.23 |
| Data collection parameters |  |
| Diffractometer | Siemens SMART CCD system (Univ. of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg) |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073$ Å) |
| Monochromator | Graphite |
| Temperature (K) | 293(2) |
| $F(000)$ | 1832 |
| Theta range for data collection | $1.86{ }^{\circ}$ to $23.27^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -19 \leq h \leq 15,-16 \leq k \leq 16, \\ & -20 \leq l \leq 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 15998 |
| $R$ (internal) | 0.022 |
| Independent reflections | 6126 |
| Independent observed | 5417 |

using the Siemens utility program SADABS for CCD detectors. The structure was solved using SHELXS-86 [38] and refined with SHELXL-93 [39]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were found and refined with isotropic displacement parameters. Final values for the residuals of $R=$ $0.0331, w R=0.1193$ and $S=0.991$ were obtained ( $w R$ $=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+\right.$ (0.10 P $)^{2}$ ] with $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3, S=$ Goodness of fit $=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} /(n-p)\right]^{1 / 2}$ with $n=$ number of reflections and $p=$ number of parameters). Important bond lengths and angles of $\mathbf{1 b}$, the fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters of all atoms are given in Tables 3 and 4. Table 5 contains crystal data, refinement data and the data col-
lection parameters. All data including anisotropic displacement parameters are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK.

### 3.3. Preparation of complexes trans- $/ \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOC}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\right.$ $\left.\left.S R-\kappa^{1}-O\right) P h\left(P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

2-RS-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{COOH}(0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, i-\mathrm{Pr}$, $t$ - Bu ) was dissolved in 30 ml methanol. The stoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.315 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture refluxed for 30 min . When necessary, water was added (up to 10 ml ) during heating until a clear solution was obtained. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the remaining thallium salt dried for another 30 min and subsequently manipulated under nitrogen. A solution of trans- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](260$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 ml tetrahydrofuran was added to the $80 \%$ excess of $2-$ SR- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$-COOTl and stirred for three days at room temperature. Precipitated TlCl was filtered off using Celite. The volume of the colourless to orange solutions was reduced in vacuo to approximately 3 ml . The crystallisation of the product at room temperature was initiated by the careful addition of a layer of pentane. Yields were in the range of 40 to $50 \%$ without attempting further crystallisation from the mother liquid.
trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOC}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-2-\mathrm{SMe}-\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : colourless crystals, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 6.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 6.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} 4-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 6.65-7.6(\mathrm{~m}$, 34 H , OOC- $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform $\left.-d_{1}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): 15.9,121.9,122.5,127.1,127.9$, 128.5, 128.6, 129.6, 130.7, 131.1, 132.1, 132.2, 134.5, 137.3, 147.4, 171.1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}$, 293 K ): 21.5. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene $-d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 22.2. IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1348$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Found: C, 68.4; H, 4.7; S, 3.9. $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ SPd. Calc.:C, 68.6; H, 4.8; S, 3.7\%.
trans-[Pd(OOC- $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-2-\mathrm{SEt}-\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : light-yellow crystals, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}, 293$ K): 1.17 ( $\mathrm{tr}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.6 (br, 2 H , $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.2-6.4 (m, 2H, Pd-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 6.4-6.6 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} 4-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.6-6.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 6.8-7.7$ (m, 34H, OOC- $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform $-d_{1}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 13.2, 26.0, 121.9, 127.1, 127.9, $128.5,129.6,130.7,130.9,131.2,134.5,137.3,147.3$, 171.1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 21.4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 22.1. IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1353 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Found: C, 68.9; H, 5.1; S, 3.7. $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ SPd. Calc.: C, 68.9; H, 5.0; S, 3.6\%.
trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOC}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-2-\mathrm{S} i-\mathrm{Pr}-\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : colourless crystals, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 1.13 (d, $\left.6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 6.3-6.5 (m, 2H, Pd-C $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ), 6.5-6.6 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \quad 6.6-8.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, OOC$\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $\left.d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ : $22.8,33.8,122.1,129.8,130.8,130.6,130.9,131.2$, 131.5, 132.1, 132.3, 132.5, 135.1, 138.1, 141.4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 22.0. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 21.5, 21.7, 21.9. IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1328 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Found: C, 68.9; H, 5.0; S, 3.4. $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ SPd. Calc.: C, 69.1; H, 5.1; S, 3.5\%.
trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOC}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-2-\mathrm{S} t\right.\right.$-Bu- $\left.\left.\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : light orange crystals, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $d_{6}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $1.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 7.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{~S}\right.$ not coordinated), $1.2-1.3$ (m, br, $1.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{~S}$ coordinated), $6.2-7.9(\mathrm{~m}, 39 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, OOC- $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ (ben-zene- $d_{6}$, 293 K ): 31.4, 45.0, 121.9, 126.5, 126.8, 127.1, 129.9, 130.6, 130.7, 131.2, 131.5, 131.8, 132.3, 132.4, 135.3, 136.2, 137.8. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (benzene- $d_{6}, 293$ K): 22.4, 22.7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\delta$ (chloroform- $d_{1}, 293$ $\mathrm{K}): 21.7,22.4$. IR (KBr): $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{CO}): 1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CO})$ : $1371 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Found: C, 69.7; H, 5.1; S, 3.2. $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ SPd. Calc.: C, 69.4; H, 5.3; S, 3.5\%.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the FRD for financial support. The measurement of NMR spectra by Dr. Linda van der Merwe and by Mr. Ian Vorster is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

[1] S. Mecking, W. Keim, Organometallics 15 (1996) 2650.
[2] E. Lindner, B. Keppler, R. Fawzi, M. Steimann, Chem. Ber. 129 (1996) 1103.
[3] S.J. Chadwell, S.J. Coles, P.G. Edwards, M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1996) 1105.
[4] S.J. Chadwell, S.J. Coles, P.G. Edwards, M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1995) 3551.
[5] W. Keim, H. Maas, S. Mecking, Z. Naturforschung B: Chem. Sci. 50 (1995) 430.
[6] E. Lindner, M. Geprägs, K. Gierling, R. Fawzi, M. Steimann, Inorg. Chem. 34 (1995) 6106.
[7] W. Keim, R.P. Schulz, J. Mol. Catal. 92 (1994) 21.
[8] B. Demerseman, C. Renourd, R. Le Lagadec, M. Gonzalez, P. Crochet, P.H. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem. 471 (1994) 229.
[9] B. Demerseman, R. Le Lagadec, B. Guilbert, C. Renourd, P. Crochet, P.H. Dixneuf, Organometallics 13 (1994) 2269.
[10] G.J.P. Britovsek, W. Keim, S. Mecking, D. Sainz, T. Wagner, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1993) 1632.
[11] E. Lindner, Q. Wang, H.A. Mayer, R. Fanzi, M. Steimann, Organometallics 12 (1993) 1865.
[12] H. Werner, A. Stark, M. Schulz, J. Wolf, Organometallics 11 (1992) 1126.
[13] A. Bader, E. Lindner, Coord. Chem. Rev. 108 (1991) 27.
[14] E. Lindner, B. Karle, Chem. Ber. 123 (1990) 1469.
[15] A.G. Abatjoglou, A.M. Harrison, R.W. Wegman, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1987) 1891.
[16] R.E. Rülke, V.E. Kaasjager, P. Wehman, C.J. Elsevier, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, K. Vrieze, J. Fraanje, K. Goubitz, A.L. Spek, Organometallics 15 (1996) 3022.
[17] A. Pfaltz, P. von Mall, Angew. Chem. 105 (1993) 614.
[18] C. Abu-Gnim, I. Amer, J. Mol. Catal. 85 (1993) L275.
[19] G.P.C.M. Dekker, A. Buijs, C.J. Elsevier, K. Vrieze, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, W.J.J. Smeets, A.L. Spek, Y.F. Wang, C.H. Stam, Organometallics 11 (1992) 1937.
[20] M. Bressan, C. Bonuzzi, F. Morandini, A. Morvillo, Inorg. Chim. Acta 182 (1991) 153.
[21] H. Maas, Ph.D. thesis, Aachen (1996).
[22] J.R. Dilworth, A.J. Hutson, J.S. Lewis, J.R. Miller, Y. Zheng, Q. Chen, J. Zubieta, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1996) 1093.
[23] S.Y. Desjardins, K.J. Cavell, H. Jin, B.W. Skelton, A.H. White, J. Organomet. Chem. 515 (1996) 233.
[24] G.J.P. Britovsek, K.J. Cavell, W. Keim, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 110 (1996) 77.
[25] J.L. Hoare, K.J. Cavell, R. Hecker, B.W. Skelton, A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1996) 2197.
[26] S. Mecking, Ph. D. thesis, Aachen (1994).
[27] R.J. Abraham, J. Fischer, P. Loftus, Introduction to NMR spectroscopy, Wiley, Chichester, 1988.
[28] R.J. Cross, A.R. Kennedy, K.W. Muir, Acta Crystallogr. C 51 (1995) 208.
[29] A. Behr, R. He, K.-D. Juszak, C. Kruger, Y.-H. Tsay, Chem. Ber. 119 (1986) 991.
[30] D.P. Bancroft, F.A. Cotton, M. Verbruggen, Acta Crystallogr. C 45 (1989) 1289.
[31] N.N. Lyalina, S.V. Dargina, A.N. Sobolev, T.M. Buslaeva, I.P. Romm, Koord. Khim. 19 (1993) 57.
[32] D.J. Wink, Acta Crystallogr. C 46 (1990) 56.
[33] R. Brüll, Ph.D. thesis, Aachen (1995).
[34] W.A. Herrmann, C. Broßner, T. Priermeier, K. Öfele, J. Organomet. Chem. 481 (1994) 97.
[35] Deutsche Chemische Gesellschaft (Ed.), Beilsteins Handbuch der Organischen Chemie, Vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 4th edn. (1956) p. 125.
[36] P. Cogolli, F. Maiolo, L. Testaferri, M. Tingoli, M. Tiecco, J. Org. Chem. 44 (1979) 2642.
[37] L. Testaferri, M. Tiecco, M. Tingoli, D. Chianelli, M. Montanucci, Synthesis (1983) 751.
[38] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. A 46 (1990) 467.
[39] G.M. Sheldrick, Program for the Refinements of Structures, University of Göttingen (1993).


[^0]:    * Corresponding author. Tel.: +27-11-489-2365; fax: +27-11-489-2363.
    ${ }^{1}$ Dedicated to Professor Wolfgang Beck, on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ G.J. Kruger, C. Thompson, W.H. Meyer, R. Brüll, H.G. Raubenheimer, unpublished results.

